



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/596,639

05/30/2007

Michel Guillon

MIG 3051

8699

321 7590 09/28/2009

SENNIGER POWERS LLP
100 NORTH BROADWAY
17TH FLOOR
ST LOUIS, MO 63102

EXAMINER

ANDERSON, CATHARINE L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3761

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/28/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/596,639	Applicant(s) GUILLON ET AL.	
	Examiner Lynne Anderson	Art Unit 3761	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 May 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 42-80 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 57-71 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 42-56 and 72-80 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/12/07</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 27 May 2009 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 57-71 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 27 May 2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 42-43, 45-46, 48-52, 55-56, and 72-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Refojo et al. (4,461,303).

5. Refojo discloses an apparatus which is capable of providing a dry environment around the eyes of a user, comprising an eye enclosure 12, as shown in figure 3. The apparatus further comprises means for retaining the enclosure in position, strap 40, and means for supplying dry air to the enclosure, as disclosed in column 2, lines 35-37.

6. With respect to claim 43, the humidity of the air provided is less than 40%, as disclosed in column 6, lines 18-20.

7. With respect to claim 45, the dry air is pumped to the eye enclosure, as disclosed in column 2, lines 35-37.

Art Unit: 3761

8. With respect to claim 46, dry air and wet air are mixed in the enclosure to achieve the desired level of dryness, as disclosed in column 3, lines 28-34, and column 6, lines 20-23.

9. With respect to claims 48-49, the apparatus further comprises means for measuring the humidity of the air and means for adjusting the mixture so the desired level of humidity is achieved, as disclosed in column 5, lines 16-17, and column 6, lines 20-23.

10. With respect to claim 50, the dry air is mixed with wet air within conduit 28 prior to being supplied to the eye enclosure, and therefore conduit 28 functions as a mixing chamber.

11. With respect to claim 51, the means for supplying dry air allows for equal air flow to each eye that is wearing the apparatus.

12. With respect to claim 52, the eye enclosure 12 covers the eye and has a head strap 40, and therefore is considered goggles.

13. With respect to claim 55, the apparatus is capable of being transported, and is therefore portable.

14. With respect to claim 56, the apparatus further comprises means for adjusting the temperature of the air, as disclosed in column 6, lines 4-7.

15. With respect to claims 72-80, the apparatus comprises all the physical limitations of the present claims, and is fully capable of being used in testing different items or for different conditions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

17. Claims 44, 47, and 53-54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Refojo et al. (4,461,303).

18. With respect to claims 44 and 47, Refojo discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the dry air being generated by passing the air across a desiccant or condensation coils, and the wet air being passed through water. Refojo discloses providing conditioned air of a predetermined relative humidity from supply 32, as described in column 7, lines 25-35, but remains silent as to how the air is generated. The use of desiccants and condensation coils are well-known in the art for reducing the humidity of air. Likewise, passing air through water is known to increase the humidity of the air. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention for the air supply of Refojo to produce dry air using desiccants or condensation coils, and to produce wet air by passing the air through water, to achieve the predictable result of producing air of the desired humidity.

19. With respect to claim 53, Refojo discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the goggles having two chambers, one to cover each eye. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide

Art Unit: 3761

the apparatus of Refojo with two chambers to achieve the predictable result of being able to test both eyes at the same time.

20. With respect to claim 54, Refojo discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the goggles being made of plastic. Refojo discloses in column 5, lines 32-34 and 40-41, that the goggles have a similar construction to swimmer's goggles, and are made of a transparent material. Swimmer's goggles are well-known to be formed of plastic in order to provide a water-tight, transparent eye chamber. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the goggles of Refojo from plastic, to achieve the predictable result of providing a water-tight, transparent eye chamber.

Conclusion

21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patents 5,807,357; 5,452,480; 6,908,195; and 5,245,993 disclose goggles for introducing air of a predetermined humidity to the eyes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (571)272-4932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L. A./
Examiner, Art Unit 3761

/Tatyana Zalukaeva/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761